

**MINUTES**  
**of the**  
**OFF-HIGHWAY VEHICLE ADVISORY GROUP (OHVAG)**  
**of**  
**ARIZONA STATE PARKS**  
**MEETING OF May 15, 2009**  
**Carnegie Center, 1101 W. Washington St., Phoenix, AZ**

**A. CALL TO ORDER AND ROLL CALL**

Committee Members Present: Drew John, Vice-Chair  
John Savino  
Bob Biegel  
Dave Moore  
Pete Pfeifer

Committee Members Absent: Hank Rogers, Chair  
Rebecca Antle

Arizona State Parks (ASP) Staff: Amy Racki  
Bob Baldwin  
Ruth Shulman (orientation only)

Other Individuals Present: Bill Gibson, Bureau of Land Management (BLM)  
Jody Latimer, Arizona State Land Department (ASLD)  
Ken Lamb, (ASLD)  
Joe Sacco, Arizona Game & Fish Department (AGFD)

**B. INTRODUCTION OF MEMBERS AND STAFF**

Members and Staff introduced themselves. Vice-Chair John officially welcomed new member David Moore to the group.

**C. OHVAG NEW MEMBER ORIENTATION**

Ms. Racki noted that the mission of this group is to develop and enhance statewide OHV recreation opportunities, and to develop educational programs and promote resource protection, social responsibility and interagency cooperation. Ms. Racki noted that the mission statement is approximately ten years old, and can be revisited if necessary. The role is to provide policy advice on OHV issues. The OHVAG review project eligibility and funding recommendations for the Recreational Trails Program (RTP) grants, with ASP staff member Bob Baldwin. One member of OHVAG sits on the grant rating team each grant cycle. Following the rating, the OHVAG makes recommendations to the ASP Board for final approval of the grants. Ms. Racki went on to note that every five years, ASP prepares a Trails Plan for the state. OHVAG provides input on the Plan, and uses the Plan to develop grant criteria for the RTP program. Applicants use the criteria in preparing their grant applications. Ms. Racki manages the OHV Recreation Fund, for which the OHVAG provides guidance and input. Expenditures from this fund are also approved by the ASP Board. Additionally, OHVAG assists with the development of public information pieces, such as brochures. OHVAG also assisted with the OHV Legislation. This is the broad overview of OHVAG's duties.

Ms. Shulman presented an orientation designed to acquaint new members with the basics of the Open Meeting Law, Robert's Rules of Order, and travel. Beginning with Robert's Rules, she

## OHVAG Minutes May 15, 2009

noted that while the OHVAG uses Robert's Rules, they are used in a relaxed manner. Robert's Rules are used to provide the protocol for making motions, and actions by the chair and members. She pointed out that the chair runs the meeting, by making the order of the agenda and providing recognition to those who wish to speak. As a matter of overlap with the Open Meeting Law, she also noted that the chair interfaces with the public, during the Call to the Public on the agenda. OHVAG members cannot respond directly to members of the public on topics that are not on the agenda. If a member of the public comments on an agenda topic, the chair may respond directly, and open discussion on the topic amongst OHVAG members. For example, if a member of the public asks a question or makes a suggestion about a grant award on the agenda, the chair will speak to the member of the public. The chair may then refer the topic to OHVAG, who will not respond directly to the public.

The Open Meeting Law is that section of the Arizona Revised Statutes that ensures the business of the State is carried on in public. It addresses several things, such as members of public groups (such as OHVAG) forming a quorum and carrying on their business. This cannot be done outside of a duly agendized and advertised meeting, meaning that emails or other forms of electronic communication from OHVAG member to OHVAG member regarding OHVAG business are prohibited. Of special concern is the "cascading quorum" effect, where a message from one member to a second member get forwarded to a third member, until a quorum is inadvertently raised. It also covers such things as keeping to the topics on the agenda, posting the agenda in a public place, and forming a quorum. Ms. Shulman will send an email to OHVAG about two weeks prior to a meeting, asking who will and will not be attending the meeting. At least four members are needed to form a quorum of the group. No business can be discussed without a quorum.

Regarding travel reimbursements, Ms. Shulman apologized that they are not being paid at this time due to the budget "crunch". However, she advised OHVAG members to keep track of their expenses in the event that back-payments are approved at some future date. She will organize Dave Moore's paperwork so that he will be in the system and eligible for payment in the event. Mr. Baldwin noted that expenses are tax-deductible so keeping track is a good idea. Vice-Chair John noted that the important thing to keep in mind is that no discussion and no decisions can be made by the group outside of a duly registered and agendized meeting. Ms. Shulman thanked the group for their attention.

### **D. ACTION ITEMS**

#### **1. Approval of Minutes from the February 19, 2009 Meeting**

Mr. Biegel moved to approve the minutes of the February 19, 2009 meeting. Mr. Savino seconded the motion, which carried with no further comment.

#### **2. Presentation of FY2009 Recreational Trails Program (Motorized Portion) (RTPMP) Grant Applications**

Mr. Baldwin began by noting that before bringing the applications to the ASP Board for final approval, they are brought before the OHVAG to review and make funding recommendations. This is the eighth year during which the RTPMP provided grant funds to applicants.

This year there were three applications for RTPMP funds, received by the March 31, 2009 deadline. Those applications requested a total of \$521,560. The applications were reviewed for completeness eligibility before the grant rating team met. Information regarding the projects is attached. Generally the project site receives a visit from Mr. Baldwin before the applications are presented, however no travel is currently taking place. Mr. Baldwin has spoken with each applicant, and is comfortable with the projects being presented.

OHVAG Minutes  
May 15, 2009

The summary sheet shows the amount of funds requested for each of the projects. The 2009 RTP allocation remains unused to this date. That allocation is approximately \$600,000, pending an exact amount. One grant from the previous cycle will use all of the FY2008 allocation and some of the FY2009, and these projects will use the remainder.

The first project is from the BLM Arizona Strip Field Office. It involves kiosks and travel route signage on the Grand Canyon Parashant National Monument. The map shows the location of the kiosks and examples of the signage. This area meets the qualifications for funding OHV area signage to designate routes and other public information. Mr. Moore asked whether the signs would be in Arizona. Mr. Baldwin replied that one sign would be in Nevada, at a major access point to the area. Mr. Savino asked about the total number of kiosks. Mr. Baldwin said that there are seven. Mr. Savino said that he had counted ten, four of which looked like hiking signs. Mr. Baldwin noted that multi-use signage is approved under the program. Mr. Savino says the hiking signs look completely different. Mr. Baldwin noted that there are differences between the information kiosks and the signs. He noted that there are multi-use trails. Mr. Savino asked if the hiking trails group is putting any money toward the project. Mr. Baldwin said no, however RTPMP funds can be used for motorized trails, and multi-use trails, but isn't limited to motorized trails. Mr. Savino went on to say that he wants to know whether we are funding anything in non-motorized areas. Mr. Baldwin noted that anyone who visits the site is a motorized user; once they enter the mixed-use areas they are not limited to motorized recreation. Mr. Moore said that the question arises because a couple of the kiosks look like they are on the edge of the wilderness areas, and toward keeping the motorized recreationists out of the wilderness, on designated trails. Mr. Pfeifer asked if the picture showed what the kiosk would look like, with maps and so on. Vice-Chair John said that many of these sorts of discussions will arise, because the funding is becoming lower and lower. Funds designated for OHV should be spent directly and exclusively on OHV projects. At the moment, Vice-Chair John noted, we must follow the criteria under which the applicant applied. There are, at the moment, no kiosks or signage in the project area, and every bit helps. Mr. Baldwin said that Mr. Savino was the liaison from OHVAG to the grant rating team for this cycle. Mr. Savino may recommend that projects may not be funded based on what he knows. Mr. Savino noted that this is why he is asking the question. He does not want to spend any motorized-vehicle money toward something that will close down a motorized trail or prohibit motorized use on a trail. Mr. Pfeifer would note that the caveat would be educational signage, for example, or if there is mitigation in process. Mr. Baldwin said that mitigation is an approved use for the funds under the program. If there are areas that need to be closed to motorized vehicles for good reason, that is allowable. Even under the new OHV Legislation, mitigation funds will be used to keep riders out of areas that have been abused and are in need of repair. Mr. Gibson noted that all kiosks will be on motorized routes as no kiosks can be installed on wilderness areas. Mr. Baldwin said he had some information on what the kiosks will look like, and he will obtain further information on the kiosks and signage for OHVAG in future. Mr. Savino envisions signs that only designate hiking trails, which would defeat the purpose of the motorized use. If the signage doesn't provide information to motorized users, he is not in favor of funding it. Mr. Baldwin noted that, for purposes of this program, anyone who drives their automobile to the site is a motorized user. Mr. Savino noted that he had driven to this meeting, so a sign should be erected at the meeting site. Mr. Baldwin said that the routes are remote area routes, which may be maintained in a fashion as to allow passenger vehicle traffic, but they are still in remote recreation areas. Ms. Racki said that more information could be made available. Mr. Savino asked for which OHV clubs have provided input on this project. Mr. Baldwin said that information is available as well. The information on kiosks is provided to educate visitors about responsible use of the area.

## OHVAG Minutes

May 15, 2009

The next project is the Saffel Canyon Trail renovations. This is through the town of Eagar on the Apache-Sitgreaves Forest. The work will improve trail conditions, and will install four bridges to help mitigate damage to stream crossing, and also trails design problems. The 50" cattle guards will be replaced with wider guards. The bridges will be 60" wide. Mr. Biegel asked whether the US Forest Service (USFS) agreed to the changes in width, since, as he understands it, those widths are against the rules. Mr. Savino noted that he was familiar with the project, and the USFS had made some consideration for access to emergency vehicles to gain access with gates alongside the OHV entrance gates. Mr. Biegel noted that at the past November meeting, the USFS from that area had noted that 50" was the maximum allowable under Federal law. Since that law has not yet changed, he cannot see how the widths can be changed as noted. Mr. Savino noted that the USFS is not doing the building, but rather the town of Eagar is taking on the project, through the local ATV club. Vice-Chair John said that the bridges will be built 60" wide, and signed to allow 50" vehicles to cross. If the law changes, so can the signage. The bridges are designed to be put-up and taken-down for emergency vehicles. Mr. Baldwin said that the bridges do not monitor traffic, which is the function of the access points. Discussion followed on the size of tracks and trails. Mr. Baldwin noted that the project is eligible under the program guidelines, and the sponsor can choose to build the project to their scale. Mr. Baldwin pointed out various features on the photos submitted with the grant application, depicting poor trail conditions.

The final project is in the North Phoenix area, the Hassayampa Field Office of the BLM. This project renovates designated trails in three different areas, to help prevent erosion and provide dust control, as well as to close some unauthorized trails and to build a multi-use trailhead in the Table Mesa area, as well as signage to provide for controlled use. Photos provided showed the type of renovation to be done. Further discussion followed on the exact nature of the work to be done specifically at the Boulders area. Mr. Baldwin noted that within some of the larger areas, there will be smaller areas to be perhaps closed for mitigation.

### **3. FY2009 Off-Highway Vehicle Recreation Fund Projects and Programs**

Ms. Racki noted that fourteen agreements for Small Projects were suspended due to budget constraints, but they will be unsuspended shortly. The same is true for suspended route evaluations. She asked Mr. Gibson to discuss the BLM Outdoor Information Center (OIC). The OIC unfortunately had to close due to the loss of the partnership with the Geological Society. The doors closed in April 2009 however custom maps are still available through the BLM. The hope is that the closure is temporary.

AGFD and ASP will be merging their OHV brochures, which will result in one informative pamphlet for riders. Once the approved language is available, the brochure will be made available for comment.

The Trails Plan 2010 is open for public comment until June 30, 2009 on the ASP website.

The Ambassador Program will be training up to 20 instructors in first aid and vehicle training. The next orientation training date is October 24, 2009. There will be field trips in June and July to Flagstaff (Cinder Hills) and Pinetop-Lakeside for the Ambassadors. The dates are June 13 for Cinder Hills, and July 18 for Pinetop-Lakeside.

Ms. Racki distributed a document with project updates.

She also noted that ASP had been involved with the Jobing.com Youth Expo. The event was attended by five thousand eighth graders who were encouraged to envision possible futures for themselves. Ms. Racki presented certificates of appreciation to the BLM and AFGD.

OHVAG Minutes  
May 15, 2009

Ms. Racki noted that the new ASP Director will be starting on June 2, 2009, when Renee Bahl will begin her tenure. Jay Ream is the acting Director until then. Further discussion on the new Director followed.

Ms. Racki noted that the state agencies will meet at a round table next week to discuss mechanisms for distributing the sticker funds. Those ideas will be presented to the OHV Ad-Hoc Subcommittee of the ASP Board.

#### **4. Discuss OHV Legislation**

Vice-Chair John asked Ms. Racki for Legislation news. She noted that ASP, AGFD and California authorities got together to discuss reciprocity with California. She provided a handout showing the reciprocity “markers” for OHV use between Arizona and California. Vice-Chair John noted that the new plates are painted, and not stamped. Mr. Biegel mentioned a license plate commission in Arizona. Ms. Racki explained the reciprocity by saying that the public should look at which plates with decals are currently on their vehicles to determine the specific reciprocity rules for their type of vehicle. A handout was provided to the group which describes Arizona/California reciprocity. Joe Sacco noted that reciprocity exists with Utah on a mutual basis. In the case of Colorado, Arizona accepts their plates, but Colorado does not accept the Arizona plates, so it’s a one-way system. Nevada has no standardized OHV program, so no reciprocity exists. This will be the same with any states that do not have standardized OHV programs. Mr. Savino asked about the plate and decal that will allow street-legal vehicle reciprocity. Vice-Chair John pointed out the street-legal plates.

Vice-Chair John asked for a total of what has accrued so far with OHV registrations. He noted that there may be some public backlash if these amounts are swept by the Legislature. Ms. Racki noted that so far these funds have not been swept, however, the gas tax funding used for projects has been swept. However, for FY2010, there may be a sweep of \$1,000,000 from the OHV Recreation Fund. She noted that OHV decal fees and gas tax money for the OHV Recreation Fund look exactly alike, so that the differences are not so recognizable. Mr. Sacco said that funding is coming in from the decal fees, but it may be swept. Vice-Chair John said that as long as some funds remain in the “pot” that could forestall any public backlash. Ms. Racki said that in January 32,000 decals were sold, in February 15,000, in March 13,000. The 32,000 decals represent a total of \$535,000 for the OHV Recreation Fund, and ASP’s share of that is \$321,000 and AGFD received \$187,000 and ASLD received \$27,000. Those funds were roughly divided in half for February. For the first quarter of the year, approximately 60,000 decals were sold. Further discussion on the mathematics of these equations followed. Ms. Racki noted that it took over ten years for all California users to be aware that vehicles needed to be registered.

Mr. Sacco noted that AGFD and ASP are still working through processes and the steep learning curve on the new legislation, however he’s optimistic about the future success of the programs. Vice-Chair John noted that the economic situation has affected sales badly.

Ms. Racki noted that 511,000 Arizona residents use OHVs per the Trails 2010 Plan.

#### **5. Discuss Recreation on State Trust Lands**

Ms. Racki noted that there was a letter from Lake Havasu that she received a copy of, regarding ASLD permits. The letter noted that the \$50 permit, added up across 50 families who had formed an OHV club, would cost the club \$3,750.

**E. PRESENTATIONS**

**1. OHV Use on State Trust Land**

Ms. Latimer and Mr. Lamb gave a PowerPoint presentation on the ASLD and OHV use. Mr. Lamb is the recreation administrator for ASLD. He handles group recreation permits and special use permits for a variety of uses including hiking, equestrian and OHV use. He noted that ASLD attempts to run under a user-friendly plan, which he will explain.

Following a brief history of State Trust Land (from before statehood), Mr. Lamb went on to discuss that today the department has 9.2million acres of land administered by them, 8million of which is leased for grazing, available to a small degree for recreation. He noted that there are 14 different beneficiaries of the state trust, all of which do not receive equal shares in the land. Contrary to popular belief, state trust land is not held in trust for the general public. He pointed out as an example, the parcel of state trust land held for the benefit of the School for the Deaf and Blind.

State trust land, not being publicly held land, is required to generate revenue on behalf of the trustee, who may be considered the actual owners of the land. The land usage profits belong to the beneficiaries, either directly or through an investment pool managed by ASLD.

Vice-Chair John asked whether the local property taxes are paid on the leased land. Mr. Lamb said that any taxes, such as the Desert Ridge mall, are on the improvements only, not the actual land.

Mr. Lamb went on to say that there are several limitations placed on them because of their purpose. One is that they have no law enforcement capabilities on the land, nor are they a regulatory agency. They rely on permit holders' goodwill to comply with laws and cooperate with law enforcement on the ground. Law enforcement is either local to the land, AGFD, or the Sheriff's office. Statutorily, ASLD is prohibited from subsidizing any use of the land at the expense of the beneficiaries. That is problematic for public use and recreation.

Mr. Lamb noted that OHV recreation began to be widely accepted in Arizona's general public in 1971. At that time, farm and agriculture lessees clashed with OHV riders. The Arizona Attorney General was asked for an opinion on the usage of trust land for OHV recreation. The opinion confirmed that the ASLD is the manager of the state trust land, and is the only legal body authorized to issue permits for usage. The Legislature then allowed ASLD to issue recreation permits on a group-by-group basis, with limitations. Today's recreation permits are easier to obtain. Now, the issue is whether ASLD is bound by the licenses and permissions given by other agencies, especially for recreation. This issue is still being "argued out" and will likely require another Attorney General opinion to settle.

Mr. Lamb showed a slide of land where cattle grazing should occur; the land is barren. He noted that the Desert Wells area contains four working cattle ranches. He noted an array of OHV trails across the grazing area, going in all directions. He also noted that the access road doesn't "stay in one place". Mr. Savino asked if the land were posted. Mr. Lamb says it is posted, with a kiosk and some barricades, which are largely disregarded. Other problems include mud-bogging. Given the increase in OHV recreation and the shrinking number of places to recreate, the impacts are enormous.

Other slides in the presentation showed maps and aerial views of trust land parcels that are leased for grazing, but where grazing does not occur due to OHV recreationists. Motorcycle

## OHVAG Minutes

May 15, 2009

riders have been known to puncture the substructure in cattle watering holes and cause the water to leak away.

Mr. Lamb also mentioned the 1990 Clean Air Act that set national standards, including particulate matter. Maricopa County has been lagging behind in dealing with particulate matter in the air, leading to a possible removal of funding if the PM-10 levels are not lowered. One way to lower the PM-10 levels is to reduce the amount of OHV recreation, especially on "bare" land. Mr. Lamb also discussed the local legislation passed to deal with the air quality issues. Further dust containment measures in other areas of the state will likewise affect OHV recreation.

The next point was about SB1167, the OHV Legislation, which provides that the landowner designates trails/routes and that OHVs may travel only on designated routes. Mr. Moore said that interpretation of the law is not precisely correct. Mr. Lamb said that the major point is that the landowner carries the right to designate. Further discussion followed, with Mr. Lamb saying that he would open the floor to discussion at the end of the presentation. He continued by saying that a recreation permit is for the most part still necessary to recreate on state trust land. The OHV decal allows the person to cross state trust land to arrive at an established riding area.

Regarding the types of permits, ASLD offers individual and family recreation permits for casual uses (hiking, camping); special land use permits for some types of OHV recreation. These permits can be costly, and come with several requirements. The group permits were not addressed.

Mr. Lamb went back to address the issue of "whose land this is" and reiterated the concept of trust for beneficiaries going back prior to statehood. Essentially, the ASLD merely raises revenue on behalf of the beneficiaries, and ensures that the trust land remains viable. Mr. Savino asked if there were any mandates on the amount of funds that must be raised. Mr. Lamb said that the revenue requirements generated from year to year changes. Ms. Latimer said that statutorily, the amount of revenue generated must meet the highest amount possible for the use or appraised value of the land. Further discussion on land sales and leases followed. ASLD does not find that recreation usage is not the highest and best use for the land, but neither do they want to close off large swathes of trust land.

Mr. Biegel asked about special use permits, and the 501c3 non-profit, how would the cost of the permit be determined. Mr. Lamb said it would be determined by the amount of impact on the land, and other factors. Non-competitive vs competitive events will impact the land differently and the fee will slide. Ms. Latimer said that the profit/non-profit status of an entity does not enter into the calculation; rather the trust must be compensated for the level of use. There is no real discretion in this area. All activities must be paid for, because ASLD cannot subsidize use.

Mr. Savino asked about the types of permits, "...for travel on designated roads and trails for non-competitive purposes"; he was under the impression that the OHV decal permitted travel on state trust land. Mr. Lamb said that the decal allows crossing state trust land to reach another designated riding area. It does not substitute for a recreation permit for state trust land. Further discussion of how the law is worded followed. Mr. Biegel noted that he cannot cross state trust land in one of his vehicles, which weighs more than 1800 pounds, as he cannot get an OHV sticker. Ms. Latimer noted that the laws were passed, and there are technical adjustments that need to be made.

Mr. Savino asked how he could discover which land is state trust land so that he doesn't inadvertently cross the boundaries. Mr. Lamb noted that the ASLD website has an interactive map to help with locating trust land. Mr. Savino also noted that, living in Show-Low, it's very difficult to obtain an ASLD recreation permit. Mr. Lamb said that the ASLD is working on making the process easier, perhaps online. He then noted that permits are \$50 for individuals and \$75 for families, though these prices are about to "sunset".

Ms. Racki asked about the Desert Wells area, and whether the decal AND a permit are required. Mr. Lamb said that the Desert Wells are a destination area, and a permit would be required, however ASLD has not been enforcing that requirement though they will in the future. Mr. Moore had asked if a land use permit could be tied to something like the AGFD hunting license. Mr. Lamb said that has been proposed, and is under discussion.

Mr. Savino asked about the amount of money from the decal sales that currently goes to the ASLD. Mr. Lamb noted that of every \$25.00 decal fee, the ASLD receives \$.07. Further discussion on the mathematics of the fees followed.

It was asked why certain properties could not be designated ASLD recreation areas, and a use fee be charged. Ms. Latimer said that in many cases, leaseholds prevent that.

Ms. Racki said that she feels ASLD is open to suggestion and could use support from the OHV community, and ASP. The idea of someone obtaining a leasehold to open an OHV riding area was entertained.

Ms. Racki noted that there will be a new ASP Director as of June 2, 2009; Ms. Latimer noted that there will be a new ASLD Director.

Mutual thanks for meeting time were exchanged.

## **F. REPORTS**

**1. Chair's Report** – The Chair had no report at this time.

### **2. Staff Reports**

#### **a) Update on ASP Board Actions**

The ASP Board appointed new members at their last general meeting. Mr. Biegel was appointed to the OHV Ad-Hoc Subcommittee along with Joe Sacco. They have not yet met. Mr. Baldwin noted that discussions on the grant rating criteria will be handled by the Ad-Hoc, as well as what to do with the OHV sticker income. Ms. Racki discussed the role of the Ad-Hoc Committee and its members. Further discussion on this group followed. Ms. Racki pointed members to the minutes of the ASP Board to see the Board's charge to the Ad-Hoc Subcommittee. Vice-Chair John mentioned his preferences for the distribution of funds.

#### **b) Current Status of RTP Grants**

Mr. Baldwin distributed a list of current projects and their status. One big accomplishment has been the completion of the Arizona OHV multi-agency coordinated project, headed by BLM. The results have not yet been published by BLM, but there has been a lot of good work done all over the state. Also, there are several projects on the Tonto National Forest that are ongoing. There are some issues with coordinating volunteers to provide the non-Federal match, and getting the work

OHVAG Minutes  
May 15, 2009

accomplished with those volunteers. The projects are ongoing and the OHV '05 project is nearly done.

Another project is the Pima Motorsports Park, which was awarded last year and completed in approximately 2.5 months. The first competition at that facility was held in April. Mr. Baldwin described the event and the construction of the venue.

Mr. Baldwin then noted that at the last meeting, funds that had been earmarked for the BLM from a withdrawn project. Further consultation with FHWA turn out that the funds cannot be re-obligated to a new project. The funds however, could still be used by the original designee, Mototrax. Some of these funds can be used to complete their NEPA requirements. The funds expire in September 2011, so they must be spent before then. Ms. Racki asked, if the project has not made headway by February of 2011, would the funds revert back to the FHWA. Mr. Baldwin said that the money would revert at this moment if there had not been a project on hold. Mr. Baldwin also noted that Mototrax will be asked to submit a new application based on the new project location. Ms. Thornburg noted that the resubmitted application will need to discuss the new scope of work. Mr. Baldwin also said that projects under SAFETEA-LU will be wrapping up shortly, and the same issue may arise under those projects. Many of these same concerns will arise when designing the program under the OHV sticker funds. Mr. Baldwin also noted that, without a continuing resolution or a new bill from the Federal government, there will be no further RTP grant cycles.

**c) Human Resource and Travel Policies**

Discussed above during new member orientation.

**G. CALL TO THE PUBLIC**

None.

**H. SUMMARY OF CURRENT EVENTS, MATTERS OF BOARD  
PROCEDURE, REQUESTS AND ITEMS FOR FUTURE AGENDAS**

None.

**I. TIME AND PLACE OF NEXT MEETING**

August 7, Phoenix, 1:00pm.

**J. ADJOURNMENT**

Mr. Biegel moved to adjourn the meeting. Mr. Savino seconded the motion, which carried with no further discussion. The meeting adjourned at 3:45pm.